格子QCDで探るハドロン間相互作用1 *K*π チャンネルの散乱長

LLL – collaboration

松本大学 Dept. MC 室谷 心 広島大学 AC 永田 純一 広島大学 IMC 中村 純

Understand Hadrons from QCD



Hadron Reaction

QCD Dynamics inside





a₀: s-wave scattering lengthL : Spatial size of the box

C1 = -2.837297, C2 = 6.375183

 $a_0 > 0$: 引力 $a_0 < 0$: 斥力 "素粒子流"定義

πK scattering amplitudes

 $|\pi K^{(|=1/2)}\rangle = \sqrt{(2/3)} |\pi^+\rangle K^0\rangle - (1/\sqrt{3}) |\pi^0\rangle K^+\rangle$

amplitude T =

+ $(2/3) < \pi^{+}K^{0} | S | \pi^{+}K^{0} >$ (A) - $(\sqrt{2}/3) < \pi^{+}K^{0} | S | \pi^{0}K^{+} >$ (B) - $(\sqrt{2}/3) < \pi^{0}K^{+} | S | \pi^{+}K^{0} >$ (C) + $(1/3) < \pi^{0}K^{+} | S | \pi^{0}K^{+} >$ (D)

contributions from 22 different diagrams







From (D)

Assuming u- and d- quarks have the same mass, then, we have only <u>6</u> different diagrams.

Further, using the Crebsh-Gordon coefficients, only <u>3</u> different diagrams remain.



 $\langle O_K(x_1')O_\pi(x_2')O_K^{\dagger}(x_1)O_\pi^{\dagger}(x_2)\rangle$ Κ X_1 x_2 X₁ $\left(x_{2}\right)$ Disconnected $(I = \frac{1}{2}) = 1 \times A + (-\frac{3}{2}) \times H + \frac{1}{2} \times X$ $(I = \frac{3}{2}) = 1 \times A + (-1) \times X$ diagram

Disconnected diagram の評価

・ すべての点からすべての点への伝播子が必要
 ノイズ法を利用

 $W_{ij}x_j = \eta_i \quad \text{Solve a Linear Equation with} \\ \text{Random Source} \quad \eta_i \text{ at i} \\ \text{Average over Noise} \quad \overline{\eta_i^* \eta_i} = \delta_{ij}$

$$\overline{\eta_i^* x_j} = \overline{\eta_i^* W_{jk}^{-1} \eta_k} = W_{ji}^{-1}$$

Complex Z2 noise nr = 4 for each color and spin

Lattice Simulation Data

- Quench Approximation
- Iwasaki Improved Action
- Lattice Size: 12*12*12*24
- β = 2.230 (lattice spacing=0.81436GeV⁻¹)
- $\kappa_{q(u,d)} = 0.1560, 0.1580, 0.1600$ (for u and d)
- $\kappa_s = 0.1570$ for s-quark
- No. of Configurations = 20 (2000 sweeps)
- 複素 Z2 noise nr = 4 for each color and spin
- at SX-5 and SX-8 (RCNP, Osaka), SR11000 (KEK and Hiroshima)



One-pole fit works well

$$C_i = Z_i \cosh(m_i(t - N_t/2))$$



 $A_{\pi}e^{-m_{\pi}(N_{t}-t)} \times A_{K}e^{-m_{K}t} + A_{\pi}e^{-m_{\pi}t} \times A_{K}e^{-m_{K}(N_{t}-t)}$ $= 2A_{\pi}A_{K}e^{-m_{\pi}N_{t}/2}e^{-m_{K}N_{t}/2}\cosh((m_{K}-m_{\pi})(t-N_{t}/2))$





2粒子状態propagator(4体相関) C(K,π)をtwo-pole fit



tsからt=12 までの領域を fit 解析に利用





 \mathbf{M}_{π}^{2} ${\mathbf M_k}^2$ $E(K\pi)^2$ I=1/2 \diamond $E(K\pi)^2$ I=3/2

質量の2乗をスケールさせた カイラル外挿







TABLE III: a_0 at the physical point where $m_{\pi} = 139.75$ MeV.

	$a_0({ m MeV})$	$a_0 m_\pi$
I = 1/2	$-0.8794 {}^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$	$\textbf{-0.6248} \begin{array}{c} +0.0115 \\ -0.0118 \end{array}$
I = 3/2	$-0.1178 \ {}^{+0.0712}_{-0.0901}$	$-0.0837 \begin{array}{c} +0.0506 \\ -0.0640 \end{array}$

 $\Delta E = E(K,\pi) - (m_K + m_\pi)$

$$-rac{2\pi(m_K+m_\pi)}{m_Km_\pi L^2}$$

$$\times \frac{a_0}{L} \left[1 + c_1 \left(\frac{a_0}{L} \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{a_0}{L} \right)^2 \right] + O(\frac{1}{L^6})$$
$$\stackrel{\text{l}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega\left(\frac{a_0}{L} \right)$$

$$\Delta E \times (-1) \frac{m_K m_\pi L^2}{2\pi (m_K + m_\pi)} = \Omega(\frac{a_0}{L})$$



Ω



TABLE III: a_0 at the physical point where $m_{\pi} = 139.75$ MeV.

	$a_0({ m MeV})$	$a_0 m_\pi$
I = 1/2	$-0.8794 {}^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$	$\textbf{-0.6248} \begin{array}{c} +0.0115 \\ -0.0118 \end{array}$
I = 3/2	$-0.1178 \ {}^{+0.0712}_{-0.0901}$	$-0.0837 \begin{array}{c} +0.0506 \\ -0.0640 \end{array}$

結果とまとめ

 I=1/2 と I=3/2 両チャンネルを 格子QCDで計算
 ·I=3/2 a0 = -0.117 fm a0 mπ = -0.0831 弱い斥力
 ·I=1/2 a0 = -0.879 fm a0 mπ = -0.624 斥力

a0(3/2) mπ = - 0.056 +- 0.023 格子 C. Miao et al, PLB595(04)400 - 0.13 ~ -0.05 実験 - 0.129 +- 0.0006 分散 + χ-perturbation H.Q.Zheng, NPA733(04)235 NPLQCD は...

Kπ NLQCD collaboration

- arXiv:0805.4629
 - KS-fermions for Sea Quarks
 + Chiral fermions for Valence Quarks
 - I=3/2 (I=1/2 is estimated from Chiral Perturbation)



- -0.056 ±0.023 [1] :Lattice QCD
- -0.0574±0.0016 [2] : Lattice QCD
- -0.05 ±0.02 [3] : one-loop chiral result
- -0.07 [4] : tree level calculation
- $-0.13 \sim -0.05[5,6,7]$: experimentally determined
- -0.129[8] : dispersion relations with chiral perturbation theory
- [1] C. Miao, X. Du, G. Meng, C. Liu, Phys. Lett. B595 (2004) 400-407.
- [2] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, T. C. Luu, K. Orginos, E. Pallante, A. Parreno, and
 - M. J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006), 114503.
- [3] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U.-G. Meissner. Nucl. Phys. B357(1991), 129.
- [4] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U.-G. Meissner. Phys. Rev. D43 (1991), 2757.
- [5] M.J. Matison et al. Phys. Rev. D9 (1974), 1872.
- [6] N.O. Johannesson and J.L. Petersen. Nucl. Phys. B68 (1973), 397.
- [7] A. Karabouraris and G. Shaw. J. Phys. G6 (1980), 583.
- [8] P. Buettiker, S. Descotes-Genon, and B. Moussallam. hep-ph/0310283, 2003.