

ブラックホール・ホライズン上での
漸近的対称性と”微視的状态”
— 現状と問題点 —

早稲田大学 理工総研

古賀潤一郎

@東工大

2002/09/10

1 イントロダクション

BTZ black hole のエントロピー

⇐ topological な理論に書き換えて horizon での “漸近的対称性”

BTZ black hole のエントロピー

⇐ 無限遠での漸近的対称性

保存量のなす Poisson 括弧代数

$$i \{ \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_n], \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_m] \} = (n - m) \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_{n+m}] + (n^3 - n) \frac{c}{12} \delta_{n+m,0}, \quad (1)$$

with

$$c = \frac{3l}{2G} \quad (2)$$

$\mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_0]$ の固有状態の縮態度 \Rightarrow BTZ のエントロピー

ブラックホール・エントロピー = ホライズン上で定義されている量

\Rightarrow ホライズン上での漸近的対称性

ホライズン上での漸近的対称性

{	ホライズン近傍の時空の幾何学的性質	\rightarrow	ホライズン特有の性質
	対称性	\rightarrow	古典的な縮退
	等長変換群より大きく一般座標変換群より小さい	\rightarrow	群の大きさとして適当?

2 Related works

2.1 Carlip 1, Park

Carlip 1: Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 2828 (1999)

Park: hep-th/0111224

metric の境界条件

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + f^2 (dr + N^r dt)^2 + \sigma_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt) \quad (3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \mathcal{O}(1/N), \quad N^r = \mathcal{O}(N^2), \quad \sigma_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad N^i = \mathcal{O}(1), \\ (\partial_t - N^r \partial_r) g_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{O}(N) g_{\mu\nu}, \quad D_i N_j + D_j N_i = \mathcal{O}(N) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$N^2 = \frac{4\pi}{\beta} (r - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^2) \quad (5)$$

t 一定面で ADM 分解?

horizon では null なのに ...

Carlip 1: 保存量が well-defined でない

Park: horizon への極限操作と変分が可換でない?!

正しく分解できてない?

2.2 Carlip 2, Silva

Carlip 2: Class. Quantum Grav. **16** 3327 (1999)

Silva: hep-th/0204179

境界条件

$$\frac{\chi^\mu \chi^\nu}{\chi^2} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow 0, \quad \chi^\mu t^\nu \delta g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

ただし、

χ^μ : null Killing vector

t^μ : spacelike vector tangent to horizon

“asymptotic Killing vector”:

$$\xi^\mu = T\chi^\mu + R\rho^\mu \quad (7)$$

with

$$\nabla_\mu \chi^2 = -2\kappa\rho_\mu \quad (8)$$

closure condition:

$$\rho^\mu \nabla_\mu T = 0 \quad (9)$$

mode function:

$$\chi^\mu \nabla_\mu T_n \neq 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\rho^\mu \rightarrow \chi^\mu \quad (11)$$

なのに ...

2.3 No central charge

Dreyer et al.: Class. Quantum Gra. **18** 1929 (2001)

isolated horizon の境界条件 \rightarrow central charge = 0

central charge を出すためには singular な

“asymptotic Killing vector”

Koga: Phys. Rev. D**64** 124012 (2001)

2.4 Integral along horizon

Carlip 3: gr-qc/0203001

2-D gravity with dilaton

conformal symmetry

$$\begin{aligned}\delta g_{\mu\nu} &= (l^\alpha \nabla_\alpha f + \kappa f) g_{\mu\nu} \\ \delta \phi &= (l^\alpha \nabla_\alpha h + \kappa h)\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

central charge \leftarrow 保存量を horizon に沿って積分

(flux ?)

積分の測度は dilaton ϕ

ϕ は horizon 上で定数

どうやって積分？

先進時間を測度にとって積分すると central charge はゼロ

2.5 その他

Solodukhin: Phys. Lett. **B 454** 213 (1999)

Sachs and Solodukhin: hep-th/0107173

wave operator near horizon:

Gupta: hep-th/0204137, Birmingham: hep-th/0102051, etc.

3 Covariant phase space method

3.1 ADM hamiltonian in asymptotically flat spacetime

Action:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \sqrt{-g} R d^4x \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

ADM Hamiltonian:

$$H[g, t] = \int \sqrt{h} [NH + N^i H_i] d^3x \tag{14}$$

Hamiltonian の変分:

$$\delta H[g, t] = \int [-(\mathcal{L}_t \pi^{\mu\nu}) \delta h_{\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{L}_t h_{\mu\nu}) \delta \pi^{\mu\nu}] - \delta J(g, t, \delta h, \delta \pi) , \tag{15}$$

δJ : Boundary (2次元) 積分

一般に $\delta J \neq 0$

正しい Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}[g, t] = H[g, t] + J[g, t] \quad (16)$$

変分のもとで Hamilton 方程式

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[g, t]}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} &= -\mathcal{L}_t \pi^{\mu\nu} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[g, t]}{\delta \pi^{\mu\nu}} &= \mathcal{L}_t h_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

を正しく導く

J を無限遠で評価すると ADM mass:

$$J = M_{ADM} \quad (18)$$

3.2 Scalar field in flat spacetime

Action:

$$I = \int -\frac{1}{2}[(\partial_\mu\phi)(\partial^\mu\phi) + m^2\phi^2]d^4x \quad (19)$$

変分:

$$\delta I = \int [\square\phi - m^2\phi]\delta\phi d^4x + \int \partial_\mu\Theta^\mu(\phi, \delta\phi)d^4x, \quad (20)$$

ただし、

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)} = -\partial^\mu\phi. \quad (21)$$

Noether current:

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu(\phi, \eta) &= \Theta^\mu(\phi, \mathcal{L}_\eta\phi) - \eta^\mu L \\ &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)}\eta^\alpha\partial_\alpha\phi - \eta^\mu L \\ &= \eta^\alpha \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)}\partial_\alpha\phi - \delta_\alpha^\mu L \right] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

保存則

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu(\phi, \xi) = 0, \quad (23)$$

ξ^μ : Killing vector

Legendre 変換:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}[\phi, t] &= \int n_\mu J^\mu(\phi, t) d^3x \\ &= \int [\pi \partial_t \phi - L] d^3x, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

ただし、

$$\pi \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_t \phi)} \quad (25)$$

は正準運動量

Hamilton 方程式:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi, t]}{\delta \phi} &= -\partial_t \pi + \int \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \delta \phi) d^3x \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_t \pi + \int \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \delta \phi) d^3x, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi, t]}{\delta \pi} &= \partial_t \phi \\ &= \mathcal{L}_t \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

ただし、表面項は通常は落ちる。

Hamilton 方程式が正しく導かれるように Hamiltonian の変分を定義する。

そのためには、

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi, t] &= \int \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi, t]}{\delta \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi, t]}{\delta \pi} \delta \pi \right] d^3x \\ &= \int [(\mathcal{L}_t \phi) \delta \pi - (\mathcal{L}_t \pi) \delta \phi] d^3x \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

これを

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^*\omega^\beta(\phi, \delta_1\phi, \delta_2\phi) \\ & \equiv \delta_2\Theta^\mu(\phi, \delta_1\phi) - \delta_1\Theta^\mu(\phi, \delta_2\phi) \\ & = \left(\delta_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)} \right) \delta_1\phi - \left(\delta_1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)} \right) \delta_2\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

を用いると

$$\int n_\beta {}^*\omega^\beta(\phi, \mathcal{L}_t\phi, \delta\phi) d^3x = \int [(\mathcal{L}_t\phi)\delta\pi - (\mathcal{L}_t\pi)\delta\phi] d^3x \quad (30)$$

なので

$$\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, t] = \int n_\beta {}^*\omega^\beta(\phi, \mathcal{L}_t\phi, \delta\phi) d^3x, \quad (31)$$

と定義する。

ベクトル ξ^μ 方向への一般的な正準変換:

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi = \left\{ \phi, \mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi] \right\} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi]}{\delta\pi}, \quad (32)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi\pi = \left\{ \pi, \mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi] \right\} = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi]}{\delta\phi}, \quad (33)$$

生成子 (保存量) $\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi]$ 同士の Poisson 括弧:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_1], \mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_2] \right\} \\ & = \int \left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_1]}{\delta\phi} \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_2]}{\delta\pi} - \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_1]}{\delta\pi} \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_2]}{\delta\phi} \right] d^3x \\ & = \int \left[-(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\pi)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\phi) + (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\phi)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\pi) \right] d^3x \\ & = \delta_{\xi_2}\mathcal{H}[\phi, \xi_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

3.3 重力理論における保存量

Action:

$$I = \int \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} L(\psi) \quad (35)$$

ψ^a : 場の基本変数

Lagrangian 密度の変分:

$$\delta(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} L(\psi)) = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} E_a \delta\psi^a + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla_\beta \Theta^\beta(\psi, \delta\psi), \quad (36)$$

$E_a = 0$: 場の方程式

Noether current:

$$J^\beta(\psi, \eta) \equiv \Theta^\beta(\psi, \mathcal{L}_\eta\psi) - \eta^\beta L(\psi), \quad (37)$$

保存則:

$$\nabla_\beta J^\beta(\psi, \eta) = -E_a \mathcal{L}_\eta\psi^a, \quad (38)$$

symplectic form:

$$\omega_{\mu\nu\rho}(\psi, \delta_1\psi, \delta_2\psi) \equiv \delta_2 \left(\varepsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\rho} \Theta^\beta(\psi_{(i)}, \delta_1\psi_{(i)}) \right) - \delta_1 \left(\varepsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\rho} \Theta^\beta(\psi_{(i)}, \delta_2\psi_{(i)}) \right), \quad (39)$$

線形化された場の方程式が満たされていれば

$$d_\sigma \omega_{\mu\nu\rho}(\psi, \delta_1\psi, \delta_2\psi) = 0 \quad (40)$$

保存量 の変分:

$$\delta\mathcal{H}[\psi, \eta] \equiv \int_{\mathcal{C}} \omega_{\mu\nu\rho}(\psi, \mathcal{L}_\eta\psi, \delta\psi), \quad (41)$$

$$J^\beta(\psi, \eta) \equiv \nabla_\alpha Q^{\beta\alpha}(\psi, \eta) + 2E_{(g)\alpha}^\beta \eta^\alpha . \quad (42)$$

となる $Q^{\beta\alpha}(\psi, \eta)$ を用いて

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta\mathcal{H}[\psi, \eta] \\ = & \delta\mathcal{H}_{ADM} + \delta \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} Q^{\beta\alpha}(\psi, \eta) + \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} \eta^\beta \Theta^\alpha(\psi, \delta\psi) , \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

(constraint + boundary term)

積分条件:

$$(\delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1) \mathcal{H}[\psi, \eta] = 0 \quad (44)$$

あるいは、

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \eta^\beta \omega_{\beta\mu\nu}(\psi, \delta_1\psi, \delta_2\psi) = 0 . \quad (45)$$

保存量の積分形:

場の方程式が成り立っていれば (covariant phase space で)

$$\mathcal{H}[\psi, \eta] = \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} [Q^{\beta\alpha}(\psi, \eta) + 2 \eta^{[\beta} B^{\alpha]}(\psi)] + \mathcal{H}_0[\eta] , \quad (46)$$

ただし、

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} \eta^\beta \Theta^\alpha(\psi, \delta\psi) \equiv \delta \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} \eta^\beta B^\alpha(\psi) . \quad (47)$$

3.4 central charge

保存量同士の Poisson 括弧:

$$\{\mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1], \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_2]\} = \bar{\delta}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1], \quad (48)$$

Poisson 括弧の “変分”:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\delta} \left(\bar{\delta}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1] - \mathcal{H}[g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] \right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} \bar{\delta} \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} \omega_{\mu\nu\rho}(g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} g, \bar{\delta} g) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\zeta_2^\beta d_\beta \omega_{\mu\nu\rho}(g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} g, \bar{\delta} g) + d_\mu (\zeta_2^\beta \omega_{\beta\nu\rho}(g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} g, \bar{\delta} g)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

central term $K[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]$:

$$\bar{\delta}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1] = \mathcal{H}[g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] + K[\zeta_1, \zeta_2], \quad (50)$$

あるいは、

$$\{\mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_1], \mathcal{H}[g; \zeta_2]\} = \mathcal{H}[g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] + K[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]. \quad (51)$$

$K[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]$ の評価式:

$$K[\zeta_1, \zeta_2] = \bar{\delta}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}^0[g; \zeta_1] - \mathcal{H}^0[g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}^0[g; \zeta_1]. \quad (52)$$

ただし、

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} \mathcal{H}[{}^0g; \zeta_1] &= -\mathcal{H}[{}^0g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] + \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}} \frac{3}{2} {}^0\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} {}^0\nabla_\gamma (\zeta_1^{[\beta} Q^{\alpha\gamma]}({}^0g; \zeta_2)) \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2} {}^0\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} Q^{\beta\alpha}({}^0g; \zeta_1) - \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} Q^{\beta\alpha}({}^0g; \zeta_2) \\
&\quad - Q^{\beta\alpha}({}^0g; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_2} \zeta_1) + Q^{\beta\alpha}({}^0g; \zeta_1) ({}^0\nabla_\gamma \zeta_2^\gamma) \\
&\quad - Q^{\beta\alpha}({}^0g; \zeta_2) ({}^0\nabla_\gamma \zeta_1^\gamma) + 2 \zeta_1^{[\beta} \zeta_2^{\alpha]} L({}^0g)].
\end{aligned}$$

(53)

4 Asymptotic Killing horizons

4.1 Universality

4.1.1 asymptotic Killing vectors

$H \subset (\mathcal{M}, {}^0g_{\mu\nu})$: Killing horizon

$\xi_{(h)}^\mu$: null Killing vector

$\xi_{(i)}^\mu$; other Killing vectors tangent to H

u : scalar s.t. $u = 0$ and $\nabla_\mu u \neq 0$ on H

\implies

$$\zeta^\mu \equiv \omega \xi_{(h)}^\mu + a^{(i)} \xi_{(i)}^\mu - \lambda \nabla^\mu u + \mathcal{O}(u^2) \quad (54)$$

: asymptotic Killing vector

ω : 任意関数

$a^{(i)}$: 任意定数

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(h)}^\mu &= -n \nabla^\mu u + \mathcal{O}(u) \\ &= \nabla^\mu(-un) + \mathcal{O}(u) \\ &= \nabla^\mu \lambda + \mathcal{O}(u) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

with $\lambda = -un$

すなわち、

$$g_{\mu\nu} = {}^0g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (56)$$

の任意の metric に対して

$$\nabla_\alpha \zeta_\beta + \nabla_\beta \zeta_\alpha = \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (57)$$

4.1.2 asymptotic Killing horizon

Def. null hypersurface $H \subset (\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$: asymptotic Killing horizon

if

1. u : scalar s.t. $u = 0$ and $\nabla_c u \neq 0$ on H

2. $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{(h)}} g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{O}(u)$

3. $g_{\mu\nu} \xi_{(h)}^\mu \xi_{(h)}^\nu = \mathcal{O}(u)$

4. $\xi_{(h)}^{[\mu} \nabla^\nu \xi_{(h)}^{\rho]} = \mathcal{O}(u)$

5. $\xi_{(h)}^\nu \nabla_\nu \xi_{(h)}^\mu = \kappa \xi_{(h)}^\mu + \mathcal{O}(u)$

invariant under diffeomorphisms

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\mu\nu} , \quad (58)$$

$$\xi_{(h)}^\mu \longrightarrow \hat{\xi}_{(h)}^\mu = \xi_{(h)}^\mu + \mathcal{L}_\zeta \xi_{(h)}^\mu . \quad (59)$$

with

$$\hat{\kappa} = \kappa + \mathcal{L}_\zeta \kappa . \quad (60)$$

invariant under dynamical transformations

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + u q_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(v^2) , \quad (61)$$

$$\xi_{(h)}^\mu \longrightarrow \bar{\xi}_{(h)}^\mu = \xi_{(h)}^\mu . \quad (62)$$

with

$$\bar{\delta}\kappa = \frac{1}{2n} \xi_{(h)}^\lambda \xi_{(h)}^\rho q_{\lambda\rho} . \quad (63)$$

invariant under horizon deformations

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} , \quad (64)$$

$$\xi_{(h)}^\mu \longrightarrow \tilde{\xi}_{(h)}^\mu = \xi_{(h)}^\mu + \mathcal{L}_\zeta \xi_{(h)}^\mu , \quad (65)$$

with

$$\tilde{\delta}\kappa = -\kappa \Omega - \xi_{(h)}^\nu \nabla_\nu \Omega . \quad (66)$$

ただし

$$\Omega(u, \theta, \phi) \equiv \xi_{(h)}^\nu \nabla_\nu \omega(v, \theta, \phi) . \quad (67)$$

4.2 Kerr–Newman horizon

4.2.1 asymptotic Killing vector

asymptotic Killing equation の一般解

$$\zeta^\mu \equiv \omega \xi_{(h)}^\mu + a^{(i)} \xi_{(i)}^\mu - \lambda \nabla^\mu \omega + \mathcal{O}(u^2) \quad (68)$$

Poisson 括弧の代数

$$\{\mathcal{H}[g, A; \zeta_1], \mathcal{H}[g, A; \zeta_2]\} = \mathcal{H}[g, A; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2] - \mathcal{H}[{}^0g, {}^0A; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2]. \quad (69)$$

$$\mathcal{H}[g, A; \zeta] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'[g, A; \zeta] \equiv \mathcal{H}[g, A; \zeta] - \mathcal{H}[{}^0g, {}^0A; \zeta], \quad (70)$$

と再定義すると

$$\{\mathcal{H}'[g, A; \zeta_1], \mathcal{H}'[g, A; \zeta_2]\} = \mathcal{H}'[g, A; \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_1} \zeta_2], \quad (71)$$

central charge はゼロ!

4.2.2 electromagnetic field

電磁場の境界条件

$$A_\mu = {}^0A_\mu + \nabla_\mu \chi + \mathcal{O}(u) ? \quad (72)$$

これでは、保存量の積分条件が満たされるために高次の条件が必要。

$$\omega \left[4(\bar{\delta}_1 F^{\beta\alpha}) \xi_{(h)\beta} (\bar{\delta}_2 A_\alpha) - 4(\bar{\delta}_2 F^{\beta\alpha}) \xi_{(h)\beta} (\bar{\delta}_1 A_\alpha) \right] = 0 \quad (73)$$

が必要

とるべき境界条件

$$A_\mu = {}^0A_\mu + \nabla_\mu (u \chi) + \mathcal{O}(u) . \quad (74)$$

asymptotic Killing vector による変換:

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta A_\mu = \xi_{(h)}^\alpha A_\alpha \nabla_\mu \omega + \nabla_\mu (u \Psi) + \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (75)$$

境界条件が保たれるためには

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha A_\alpha \nabla_\mu \omega = \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (76)$$

を課す必要

4.2.3 nonextreme v.s. extreme

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha A_\alpha = ?$$

Kerr–Newman background 上で

正則、かつ

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{(h)}} {}^0 A_\alpha = 0 \quad (77)$$

となるゲージをとると、

1. nonextreme

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha {}^0 A_\alpha = 0 \quad (78)$$

でなければならない

bifurcation surface 上で $\xi_{(h)}^\alpha = 0$

2. extreme

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha {}^0 A_\alpha^I = 0 \quad (79)$$

でも

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha {}^0 A_\alpha^{II} \neq 0 \quad (80)$$

でも可

II のゲージでは、

$$\xi_{(h)}^\alpha A_\alpha^{II} \neq 0 \quad (81)$$

よって

$$\nabla_\mu \omega = \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (82)$$

ホライズン上での $\omega(v, \theta, \phi)$ を

$$\xi_{(h)}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \quad (83)$$

となる先進時間 V でフーリエ展開

\Rightarrow

1. nonextreme と I のゲージでは無限個のフーリエ・モード

= 無限個の horizon deformation

2. II のゲージではゼロ・モードのみ

= 1つの horizon deformation

(κ はゼロに保たれる)

horizon deformation = 古典的な縮退 が量子論的には解けているとすると ...

Euclidean (path integral) の方法では、エントロピー S は

$$S = - \left(\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - 1 \right) \ln Z \quad (84)$$

with

$$Z = \exp[-I_E] \quad (85)$$

ただし、

$$I_E = \begin{cases} \beta M - A/4 & \text{for nonextreme} \\ \beta M & \text{for extreme} \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

\Rightarrow

$$S = \begin{cases} A/4 & \text{for nonextreme} \\ 0 & \text{for extreme} \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

extreme black hole のエントロピーはゼロ

(Hawking et al. (1995), Teitelboim (1995))

string 理論においては、D-brane の技法により、extreme black hole のエントロピーは

$$S = \frac{A}{4} \quad (88)$$

(Strominger and Vafa (1996), etc.)

asymptotic Killing horizon はこの両方と無矛盾

両者のギャップを埋められるかもしれない ...